SHEPHERD+ WEDDERBURN

RESPONSES TO WRITTEN QUESTIONS OF THE EXAMINING AUTHORITY ON BEHALF OF

Northern Gas Processing Limited (IP 20049396), Teesside Gas and Liquids Processing (IP 20049393), Teesside Gas Processing Plant Limited (IP 20049397)

IN CONNECTION WITH THE Application by H2 Teesside Limited for an Order Granting Development Consent for the H2 Teesside Project

Introduction

- 1.1 This document contains responses to the Examining Authority's written questions and requests for information ("**ExQ1**") (PD-008), and is submitted in accordance with Deadline 2 of the examination timetable for the application by H2Teesside Limited (the "**Applicant**") for an Order under the Planning Act 2008 granting Development Consent for the H2Teesside Project (the "**Project**").
- 1.2 The responses contained in this document are provided on behalf of:
 - 1.2.1 Northern Gas Processing Limited (IP 20049396) (RR-028);
 - 1.2.2 Teesside Gas and Liquids Processing (IP 20049393) (RR-030); and
 - 1.2.3 Teesside Gas Processing Plant Limited (IP 20049397) (RR-031).

together, the "NSMP Entities".

- 1.3 The NSMP Entities responses are set out in the table provided overleaf. Responses have been provided to the following queries directed towards IPs, which the NSMP Entities consider are relevant to them:
 - 1.3.1 Q1.6.7;
 - 1.3.2 Q1.9.67;
 - 1.3.3 Q.1.17.1.

ExQ1 – question number	Response of NSMP Entities
Q1.6.7 The accuracy of the BoR, Land Plans and points of clarification. Are any Affected Persons or IPs aware of any inaccuracies in the BoR [AS-012], SoR [APP-024] or Land Plans [AS-003]? If so, please set out what these are and provide the correct details.	There are references to North Sea Midstream Partners Limited in the application book of reference (AS-012) and NSMP Operations Limited in the updated book of reference (REP1-004). Our understanding is that these organisations do not have any formal interests in the area affected by the Project. We request that the Applicant provide clarification as to why these organisations have been identified.
Q1.9.67	The draft DCO (AS-013) does not contain protective provisions which cover the NSMP Entities' rights and interests.
Clarification Schedule 12 (PPs) – Please provide details of discussions and progress regarding PPs (if applicable). If you are in agreement with PPs relevant to you, please confirm this, if not, either provide copies of preferred wording for PPs, or if you have provided it elsewhere (such as in a SoCG), signpost where it can be found and explain why you do not want the wording as currently drafted to be used. Note, if this is provided in the requested Land Rights Tracker please signpost this to the ExA.	The NSMP Entities are preparing a suite of proposed protective provisions, based on the protective provisions developed for the Net Zero Teesside Development Consent Order. The NSMP Entities consider these protective provisions, along with the additional requirements outlined in the NSMP Entities' written representations, would adequately provide for their rights and interests. If accepted the protective provisions and additional requirements would resolve their concerns in respect of the Project. The NSMP Entities are anticipating engaging in intensive discussions with the Applicant in respect of these protective provisions and other requirements.
Q1.17.1 Update/Views sought. It would be necessary to use accesses in the ownership and use of a number of IPs and other operators. A number of RRs have raised maintenance of their access rights as an issue. Please could all parties provide an update on whether access concerns remain and if the DCO or relevant PPs offer suitable protection to IPs?	A fundamental concern for the NSMP Entities in this Project is maintaining access to the Teesside Gas Processing Plant, which they own and operate. The Applicant proposes to acquire rights in the access road to the Teesside Gas Processing Plant, which could impact the NSMP Entities' use and access. These concerns have not yet been resolved. However, the NSMP Entities consider that the inclusion of appropriate protective provisions and additional requirements would resolve their concerns regarding access. As noted above, the NSMP Entities are anticipating engaging in intensive discussions with the Applicant regarding the development of appropriate protective provisions and other requirements.